

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/643,089 | 08/19/2003 | John Z. Wang | 839-1479 | 3067 |
| 30024 | 7590 09/15/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C./G.E. | | ANG Y | | |
| 1100 N. GLEE | BE RD. | | | |
| SUITE 800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ARLINGTON | . VA 22201 | | 1725 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | V |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Advisory Action | 10/643,089 | WANG, JOHN Z. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kuang Y. Lin | 1725 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addi | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea | void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper rep | oly to a cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing deposition of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advision of the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (| e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | period set forth in of the appeal. | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | implifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ng a corresponding number of t | finally rejected clain | ns. |
| NOTE: | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | · · · ——— | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> . | idered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which wer | re newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo |)□ will be entered a ow or appended. | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl | | the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 1 | |
| 10. Other: | | Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner | |
| | | Art Unit: 1725 | |

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: EP '434 suggests that any opening in the bucket shall be in elliptical shape to reduce the stress concentration. Thus, it would have been obvious to form the openings created for the support pins of the admitted prior art bucket also in elliptical shape in view of EP '434. When the openings of the admitted is in elliptical shape, the support pins must also be in an elliptical shape.